



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/017,957	12/14/2001	Gary M. Rapps	CM02023K	7541
22917 7	590 12/14/2004		EXAMINER	
MOTOROLA, INC.			NI, SUHAN	
1303 EAST AI	LGONQUIN ROAD			
IL01/3RD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SCHAUMBURG, IL 60196			2643	
			DATE MAILED: 12/14/200	DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/017.957 RAPPS, GARY M. Advisory Action **Art Unit** Examiner 2643 Suhan Ni -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 16 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) \tag{ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: ___. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Please see next page. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: ____ Claim(s) objected to: _____. Claim(s) rejected: _____. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. Other: __

PRIMARY MXAMINER
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 2643

Application/Control Number: 10/017,957

Art Unit: 2643

Page 2

Advisory Action

1. This communication is responsive to the communication dated 08/16/2004.

Response

2. The request for reconsideration and the argument have been fully considered, but it does

not to be persuasive for placing the application in the condition for allowance.

The cited references do clearly show all the limitation as claimed, and the motivations for

combining the references are clearly stated in the latest office action.

Regarding claim 1, the applicants argue no motivation to combine the references. It is not

necessary that the references actually suggest, expressly or in so many words the changes or

improvements that applicants have made. The test for combining references is what the

references as whole would have suggested to one of ordinary skilled in the art. In re Sheckler,

168 USPQ 716 (CCPA 1971); In re Mlaughlin 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971); In re Young

159 USPQ 715 (CCPA 1968).

As to the combination of the cited prior art fails to teach applicants' claimed invention,

but the Examiner respectfully disagrees. The combination of these references teaches the recited

claim limitations.

SN

SUMAN MI DDIMADY EVANMEND

12/10/04